

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/828,804	04/20/2	2004	Gregory Springler	. 10541-1971	5061		
²⁹⁰⁷⁴ VISTEON	7590	07/18/2007		EXA	EXAMINER		
C/O BRINKS		KRAME	KRAMER, DEVON C				
PO BOX 1039 CHICAGO, II	-			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3683			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			·	07/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/828,804	SPRINGLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Devon C. Kramer	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	SS			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MOR	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ine 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,8 and 10 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 4-7 9 11-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ithdrawn from considerat	ion.				
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
		•					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2) Claims 1, 4-7, 9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification makes no mention of the first and second elements having uninterrupted surfaces of rotation. Please note that the cross-section of the elected embodiment does not show the entire domed surface and it cannot be determined that there are no apertures.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,804

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 1, 4-7, 9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach et al (3679159) in view of Hall (3554527).

Bach et al teaches an energy-absorbing pad with uninterrupted elements (17, 19, 20).

In re claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 11-14 and 17-20, Hall provides an energy absorbing padding capable of use in a motor vehicle comprising: a first base layer (34, figure 4) having a planar first face, a planar second face, and a plurality of integrally formed first elements each having a first surface of rotation defining an enclosed first hollow interior (38); and a second base layer (32) having the same properties as the first base layer.

IN re claims 5-6, 15-16, Hall provides the second face of the first base layer is attached to the first face of the second base layer. Please note that bonding is read as being affixed because bonding can be considered a process.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the padding of Bach et al with elements like that of Hall merely to provide an element which is capable of absorbing a great amount of energy and is efficient.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/5/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the 112 rejection should be withdrawn because figure 1 shows that there are no apertures through the padding. First, the examiner would like to mention that the figure 1 is a separate embodiment of the application. Second, the figures do not show a full profile of the dome above the first face. Therefor, the examiner maintains the 112 first paragraph rejection.

Applicant argues the combination made in the 103 rejection. Note that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the dome of Bach with multiple layers as taught by Hall merely to increase the spring force in the domes enabling the domes of Bach to absorb greater impacts.

Conclusion

6) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3683

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on (571)272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

DK